

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO	D.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/441,723		11/16/1999	JENNIFER L. HILLMAN	PF-0430-1-DI	4924
27904	7590	02/17/2004		EXAMINER	
	CORPOR		YU, MISOOK		
3160 PORTER DRIVE PALO ALTO, CA 94304				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ŕ				1642	
				DATE MAILED: 02/17/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/441,723	HILLMAN ET AL.
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit
	MISOOK YU, Ph.D.	1642
The MAILING DATE of this communicati n appe		
THE REPLY FILED 06 October 2003 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	THIS APPLICATION IN CONDItion of abandonment of this applicate a timely filed amendment which (with appeal fee); or (3) a timel	TION FOR ALLOWANCE.  ation. A proper reply to a  n places the application in
	PLY [check either a) or b)]	
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the content	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension unt of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on 12 December 2003. 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF	R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal o	
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be		
(a) they raise new issues that would require further	•	see NOTE below);
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	•	
<ul><li>(c)  they are not deemed to place the application ir issues for appeal; and/or</li></ul>	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cancelling	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims.
NOTE:		
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s): written description.	
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed amendment
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for application in condition for allowance because: <u>Sec</u>		dered but does NOT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we		
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		
Claim(s) allowed: <u>1,2,14 and 23</u> .		
Claim(s) objected to:		
Claim(s) rejected:		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 25,27 and 2	<u>28</u> .	
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) appr	oved or b) disapproved by t	he Examiner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer	nt(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	
10.⊠ Other: <u>interview summary</u>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1/
	LARRY R. HELMS, PH.D PRIMARY EXAMINER	Misook Yu, 2/12/2004

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Claims 1, 2, 14 are directed to an allowable product. Applicant's request to rejoin the previously withdrawn process claims is noted. However, after final rejection is made, the prosecution of the instant application is closed. The withdrawn claims 25, 27, 28 do not include all the limitations of the allowable product, therefore not been rejoined. If the prosecution is still open, the claims would be rejected under 112 first and second paragraphs for the limitations "modulates the activity", "agonist activity" "agonist activity".